

COMPUTER SCIENCE 349A
Handout Number 16

GAUSSIAN ELIMINATION WITH PARTIAL PIVOTING
(Section 9.4)

The Naïve Gaussian elimination algorithm will fail if any of the pivots $a_{11}, a_{22}^{(1)}, a_{33}^{(2)}, \dots$ is equal to 0. Mathematically speaking, the algorithm only requires that the pivots be nonzero. However, as the algorithm fails when a pivot is exactly equal to 0, it often gives very poor numerical results (using floating-point arithmetic) when a pivot is close to 0.

Example

Consider the $n = 2$ linear system with augmented matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0.003 & 59.14 & 59.17 \\ 5.291 & -6.13 & 46.78 \end{array} \right].$$

The exact solution is

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, using 4 decimal-digit floating-point rounding arithmetic and Naïve Gaussian elimination, a very inaccurate solution is computed:

$$\text{mult} \leftarrow fl(5.291/0.003) = fl(1763.666\dots) = 1764.$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{22}^{(1)} &\leftarrow fl(-6.13 - fl(1764 \times 59.14)) \\ &= fl(-6.13 - (1043 \times 10^2)) \\ &= -1043 \times 10^2 \quad \text{or} \quad -0.1043 \times 10^6 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_2^{(1)} &\leftarrow fl(46.78 - fl(1764 \times 59.17)) \\ &= fl(46.78 - (1044 \times 10^2)) \\ &= -1044 \times 10^2 \quad \text{or} \quad -0.1044 \times 10^6 \end{aligned}$$

That is, the reduced linear system (in which the coefficient matrix has been reduced to upper triangular form) is

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0.003 & 59.14 & 59.17 \\ 0.0 & -1043 \times 10^2 & -1044 \times 10^2 \end{array} \right].$$

From this, back substitution gives a computed solution of

$$\hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -10.00 \\ 1.001 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Analysis of the above example. The source of the extremely inaccurate computed solution \hat{x} is the large magnitude of the multiplier (1764), which is much larger than the numbers in the given linear system. This multiplier is large because the pivot $a_{11} = 0.003$ is very small (relative to other numbers in the linear system).

Consequently, in the floating-point computation of $a_{22}^{(1)}$ and $b_2^{(1)}$, the numbers -6.13 and 46.78 are so small that they are lost (or discarded) in the 4-digit floating-point computation.

The **partial pivoting strategy** is designed to avoid the selection of a small pivot, and thus to avoid the computation of unnecessarily large multipliers (relative to other numbers in the linear system):

at step i of the forward elimination (where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$), choose the pivot to be the largest entry in absolute value from

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{ii} \\ a_{i+1,i} \\ a_{i+2,i} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n,i} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If this largest entry is a_{pi} (that is, $|a_{pi}| = \max_{i \leq k \leq n} |a_{ki}|$), then rows i and p of the augmented matrix are interchanged. (If $p = i$, then no interchange is done.)

With the partial pivoting strategy, note that all multipliers are ≤ 1 in absolute value.

Note also that a row interchange is a Type 3 elementary row operation (thus, the linear system obtained by applying Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting is equivalent to the given linear system).

The following is an algorithm for **Gaussian Elimination with partial pivoting**:

```

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$                                      (begin forward elimination)
     $p \leftarrow i$                                          (find the pivot)
    for  $k = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$ 
        if  $|a_{ki}| > |a_{pi}|$ 
             $p \leftarrow k$ 
        end if
    end for
    if  $p \neq i$                                              (interchange rows if necessary)
        for  $k = i, i + 1, \dots, n$ 
            temp  $\leftarrow a_{ik}$ 
             $a_{ik} \leftarrow a_{pk}$ 
             $a_{pk} \leftarrow \text{temp}$ 
        end for
        temp  $\leftarrow b_i$ 
         $b_i \leftarrow b_p$ 
         $b_p \leftarrow \text{temp}$ 
    end if
    for  $j = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$                          (do the elimination on  $A$  and  $b$ )
        mult  $\leftarrow a_{ji} / a_{ii}$ 
        for  $k = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$ 
             $a_{jk} \leftarrow a_{jk} - \text{mult} \times a_{ik}$ 
        end for
         $b_j \leftarrow b_j - \text{mult} \times b_i$ 
    end for
end for
 $x_n \leftarrow b_n / a_{nn}$                                    (begin back substitution)
for  $i = n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1$ 
    sum  $\leftarrow b_i$ 
    for  $j = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$ 
        sum  $\leftarrow \text{sum} - a_{ij} \times x_j$ 
    end for
     $x_i \leftarrow \text{sum} / a_{ii}$ 
end for

```

NOTE: the above algorithm is similar to that given in the textbook in Figures 9.4 and 9.5 together (on pages 240 and 252 in the 5th ed.; pages 250 and 262 in the 6th ed.).

Example: Gaussian Elimination with partial pivoting.

Suppose that the given augmented matrix is

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 & 3 & -1 & 4 \end{array} \right].$$

Step 1 of the forward elimination with $i = 1$: the row index of the pivot is $p \leftarrow 3$, so rows 1 and 3 are interchanged to give

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 3 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -3 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 4 \\ -1 & 2 & 3 & -1 & 4 \end{array} \right].$$

The elimination on rows 2, 3 and 4 gives

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 3 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 5/3 & -1/3 & -1/3 & 3 \\ 0 & 4/3 & 1/3 & 7/3 & 5 \\ 0 & 5/3 & 8/3 & -1/3 & 3 \end{array} \right].$$

Step 2 of the forward elimination with $i = 2$: the row index of the pivot is $p \leftarrow 2$. Since $p = i$, no row interchange is done. The elimination on rows 3 and 4 gives

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 3 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 5/3 & -1/3 & -1/3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3/5 & 13/5 & 13/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Step 3 of the forward elimination with $i = 3$: the row index of the pivot is $p \leftarrow 4$, so rows 3 and 4 are interchanged to give

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 3 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 5/3 & -1/3 & -1/3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3/5 & 13/5 & 13/5 \end{array} \right].$$

The elimination on row 4 gives

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 3 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 5/3 & -1/3 & -1/3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 13/5 & 13/5 \end{array} \right],$$

which completes the forward elimination.

Back substitution now gives the solution

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Determinant of A (see page 249 in the 5th ed.; page 259 in the 6th ed.)

The reduction of A to upper triangular form by Naïve Gaussian elimination uses only the Type 2 elementary row operation

$$E_i \leftarrow E_i - \text{mult} \times E_j.$$

This row operation does not change the value of the determinant of A . So, if no rows are interchanged,

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= \det (\text{equivalent upper triangular form of } A) \\ &= a_{11} a_{22}^{(1)} a_{33}^{(2)} \cdots a_{nn}^{(n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

since the determinant of a triangular matrix is equal to the product of its diagonal entries.

However, if Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting is used to reduce A to upper triangular form, each row interchange causes the determinant to be multiplied by -1 . Thus, if m row interchanges are done during the reduction of A to upper triangular form, then

$$\det A = (-1)^m a_{11} a_{22}^{(1)} a_{33}^{(2)} \cdots a_{nn}^{(n-1)}$$